

101 CRM-M-27851-2022

ANKIT SHARMA VS STATE OF HARYANA

Present: Mr. Archit Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present case a bare perusal of the FIR would show that it is a totally vague case and even as per the allegations the matter is at the most a civil dispute between the parties which has been given a criminal flavour. He submitted that the petitioner is a remote related relative of the commission agent against whom the allegations have been made. He further submitted that there is nothing to show as to whether the petitioner or the other co-accused have taken money with regard to some crop and of what year. He submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called by the IO and it is not a case where the custodial investigation of the petitioner is required.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State of Haryana and prays for some time to seek instructions and to file affidavit.

Mr. S.S.Verma, Advocate has also appeared on behalf of the complainant.

The complainant shall also be at liberty to file his affidavit before the next date of hearing.

Adjourned to 21.11.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and on his doing so, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

July 04, 2022
ajay-1